Turkish Journal of Mathematics

Volume 45 | Number 5 Article 10

1-1-2021

Some applications of fractional calculus for analytic functions

NESLİHAN UYANIK

SHİGEYOSHİ OWA

Follow this and additional works at: https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math

 Part of the Mathematics Commons

Recommended Citation
UYANIK, NESLİHAN and OWA, SHİGEYOSHİ (2021) "Some applications of fractional calculus for analytic functions," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 45: No. 5, Article 10. https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-2103-23
Available at: https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol45/iss5/10

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

TÜBİTAK

Turkish Journal of Mathematics

http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/

Research Article

Turk J Math
(2021) 45: 2025 – 2034
© TÜBİTAK
doi:10.3906/mat-2103-23

Some applications of fractional calculus for analytic functions

Neslihan UYANIK[1,*], **Shigeyoshi OWA**[2]
[1]Department of Mathematics and Science Education, Faculty of Education, Anadolu University, Eskişehir, Turkey
[2]Honorary Professor, "1 Decembrie 1918" University Alba Lulia, Romania

Received: 05.03.2021 • **Accepted/Published Online:** 02.07.2021 • **Final Version:** 16.09.2021

Abstract: For analytic functions $f(z)$ in the class A_n, fractional calculus (fractional integrals and fractional derivatives) $D_z^{\lambda} f(z)$ of order λ are introduced. Applying $D_z^{\lambda} f(z)$ for $f(z) \in A_n$, we introduce the interesting subclass $A_n(\alpha_m, \beta, \rho, \lambda)$ of A_n. The object of this paper is to discuss some properties of $f(z)$ concerning $D_z^{\lambda} f(z)$.

Key words: Analytic function, fractional integral, fractional derivative, Miller and Mocanu lemma

1. Introduction

Let A_n be the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \qquad (n \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \cdots\}) \tag{1.1}$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $U = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}: |z| < 1 \}$.
Among various definitions of $f(z) \in A_n$ for fractional calculus given in the literature, we would like to recall here the following definitions for fractional calculus which were used by Owa ([4, 5]) and by Owa and Srivastava [6].

Definition 1.1 The fractional integral of order λ for $f(z) \in A_n$ is defined by

$$D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{(z-t)^{1-\lambda}} dt, \qquad (\lambda > 0) \tag{1.2}$$

where the multiplicity of $(z-t)^{\lambda-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-t)$ to be real when $z - t > 0$, and $\Gamma(\lambda)$ is the Gamma function.

*Correspondence: nesuyan@yahoo.com
2010 *AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:* 30C45.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Definition 1.2 The fractional derivative of order λ for $f(z) \in A_n$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} D_z^{\lambda} f(z) &= \frac{d}{dz}\left(D_z^{\lambda-1} f(z)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \frac{d}{dz}\left(\int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{(z-t)^{\lambda}} dt\right), \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1) \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

where the multiplicity of $(z-t)^{-\lambda}$ is removed as in Definition 1.1 above.

Definition 1.3 Under the hypothesis of Definition 1.2, the fractional derivative of order $j+\lambda$ for $f(z) \in A_n$ is defined by

$$D_z^{j+\lambda} f(z) = \frac{d^j}{dz^j}\left(D_z^{\lambda} f(z)\right), \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1) \tag{1.4}$$

where $j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.
In view of the above definitions, we know that

$$D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)} z^{1+\lambda} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{\Gamma(k+1+\lambda)} a_k z^{k+\lambda} \quad (\lambda > 0), \tag{1.5}$$

$$D_z^{\lambda} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\lambda)} z^{1-\lambda} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)} a_k z^{k-\lambda} \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1), \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$D_z^{j+\lambda} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-j-\lambda)} z^{1-j-\lambda} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{\Gamma(k+1-j-\lambda)} a_k z^{k-j-\lambda} \tag{1.7}$$

for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.
If $\lambda = 1$ in (1.6) and (1.7), then we have $f'(z)$ and $f^{(j+1)}(z)$.
Now, we consider m different boundary points $z_p\,(p = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, m)$ with $|z_p| = 1$ and

$$\alpha_m = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{p=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda) D_z^{\lambda} f(z_p)}{z_p^{1-\lambda}}, \qquad (z_j \neq z_k) \tag{1.8}$$

where

$$\alpha_m \in e^{i\beta} \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda) D_z^{\lambda} f(U)}{z_p^{1-\lambda}}, \tag{1.9}$$

$\alpha_m \neq 1$ and $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \beta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$.
If $f(z) \in A_n$ satisfies

$$\left| \frac{e^{i\beta} G_{\lambda}(z) - \alpha_m}{e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m} - 1 \right| < \rho, \qquad (z \in U) \tag{1.10}$$

or some real $\rho > 0$, then we say that $f(z)$ is a member of the class $A_n(\alpha_m, \beta, \rho, \lambda)$, where

$$G_{\lambda}(z) = \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda) D_z^{\lambda} f(z)}{z^{1-\lambda}} = 1 + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{k! \Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)} a_k z^{k-1}, \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1). \tag{1.11}$$

It is clear that $G_\lambda(z)$ in (1.11) satisfies

$$|G_\lambda(z)-1|<\rho\left|e^{i\beta}-\alpha_m\right|, \qquad (z\in U). \tag{1.12}$$

If we consider a function $f(z)\in A_n$ given by

$$f(z)=z+\frac{\left(e^{i\beta}-\alpha_m\right)\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}{(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}z^{n+1}, \tag{1.13}$$

then $f(z)$ satisfies

$$|G_\lambda(z)-1|=\rho\left|e^{i\beta}-\alpha_m\right||z|^n<\rho\left|e^{i\beta}-\alpha_m\right| \qquad (z\in U). \tag{1.14}$$

Therefore, $f(z)$ given by (1.13) belongs to the class $A_n(\alpha_m,\beta,\rho,\lambda)$.
To discuss some interesting properties of $f(z)$ in the class $A_n(\alpha_m,\beta,\rho,\lambda)$, we need the following lemma due to Miller and Mocanu ([2,3]) (also, due to Jack [1]).

Lemma 1.1 Let the function $w(z)$ given by

$$w(z)=a_nz^n+a_{n+1}z^{n+1}+a_{n+2}z^{n+2}+\cdots \tag{1.15}$$

be analytic in U with $w(0)=0$ and $n\in N$.
If $|w(z)|$ attains its maximum value on the circle $|z|=r<1$ at a point $z_0\in U$, then there exists a real number $k\geq n$ such that

$$\frac{z_0w'(z_0)}{w(z_0)}=k \tag{1.16}$$

and

$$\Re\left(1+\frac{z_0w''(z_0)}{w'(z_0)}\right)\geq k. \tag{1.17}$$

2. Properties of functions concerning the class $A_n(\alpha_m,\beta,\rho,\lambda)$

Applying Lemma 1.1, we first derive

Theorem 2.1 If $f(z)\in A_n$ satisfies

$$\left|\frac{zD_z^{1+\lambda}f(z)}{D_z^\lambda f(z)}-(1-\lambda)\right|<\frac{\left|e^{i\beta}-\alpha_m\right|n\rho}{1+\left|e^{i\beta}-\alpha_m\right|\rho} \qquad (z\in U) \tag{2.1}$$

for some α_m defined by (1.9) with $\alpha_m\neq 1$ such that $z_p\in\partial U$ $(p=1,2,3,\cdots,m)$, and for some real $\rho>1$, then

$$\left|\frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)D_z^\lambda f(z)}{z^{1-\lambda}}-1\right|<\left|e^{i\beta}-\alpha_m\right|\rho, \qquad (z\in U) \tag{2.2}$$

that is, $f(z)\in A_n(\alpha_m,\beta,\rho,\lambda)$.

Proof Let us define a function $w(z)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} w(z) &= \frac{e^{i\beta}G_\lambda(z)-\alpha_m}{e^{i\beta}-\alpha_m}-1 \\ &= \frac{e^{i\beta}}{e^{i\beta}-\alpha_m}\left\{\frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)(n+1)!}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}a_{n+1}z^n+\frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)(n+2)!}{\Gamma(n+3-\lambda)}a_{n+2}z^{n+1}+\cdots\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $G_\lambda(z)$ is given by (1.11).
It follows that

$$G_\lambda(z)=\frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)D_z^\lambda f(z)}{z^{1-\lambda}}=1+\left(1-\alpha_m e^{-i\beta}\right)w(z). \tag{2.4}$$

This implies that

$$\frac{zD_z^{1+\lambda}f(z)}{D_z^\lambda f(z)}-(1-\lambda)=\frac{\left(1-\alpha_m e^{-i\beta}\right)zw'(z)}{1+\left(1-\alpha_m e^{-i\beta}\right)w(z)} \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{zD_z^{1+\lambda}f(z)}{D_z^\lambda f(z)}-(1-\lambda)\right| &= \left|\frac{\left(1-\alpha_m e^{-i\beta}\right)zw'(z)}{1+\left(1-\alpha_m e^{-i\beta}\right)w(z)}\right| \\ &< \frac{\left|e^{i\beta}-\alpha_m\right|n\rho}{1+\left|e^{i\beta}-\alpha_m\right|\rho} \qquad (z\in U) \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

with (2.1). We suppose that there exists a point $z_0\in U$ such that

$$\max_{|z|\le|z_0|}|w(z)|=|w(z_0)|=\rho>1. \tag{2.7}$$

Then we can write that $w(z_0)=\rho e^{i\theta}$ and $z_0w'(z_0)=kw(z_0)$ with $k\ge n$ by Lemma 1.1. For such a point $z_0\in U$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{z_0D_z^{1+\lambda}f(z_0)}{D_z^\lambda f(z_0)}-(1-\lambda)\right| &= \left|\frac{\left(1-\alpha_m e^{-i\beta}\right)z_0w'(z_0)}{1+\left(1-\alpha_m e^{-i\beta}\right)w(z_0)}\right| \\ &\ge \frac{\left|1-\alpha_m e^{-i\beta}\right|n\rho}{1+\left|1-\alpha_m e^{-i\beta}\right|\rho}=\frac{\left|e^{i\beta}-\alpha_m\right|n\rho}{1+\left|e^{i\beta}-\alpha_m\right|\rho}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

This contradicts our condition (2.1) for $f(z)\in A_n$.
Therefore, we say that there is no such point $z_0\in U$ such that $|w(z_0)|=\rho>1$. This means that $|w(z)|<\rho$ for all $z\in U$. Therefore, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |w(z)| &= \left|\frac{e^{i\beta}G_\lambda(z)-\alpha_m}{e^{i\beta}-\alpha_m}-1\right| \\ &= \left|\frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)D_z^\lambda f(z)}{z^{1-\lambda}}-1\right|<\left|e^{i\beta}-\alpha_m\right|\rho, \qquad (z\in U). \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Example 2.1 We consider a function $f(z) \in A_n$ given by

$$f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} \qquad (z \in U) \tag{2.10}$$

with

$$0 < |a_{n+1}| < \frac{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}{2(n+1)!}.$$

Then we see that

$$\frac{zD_z^{1+\lambda}f(z)}{D_z^{\lambda}f(z)} - (1-\lambda) = \frac{\frac{(n+1)!n\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}a_{n+1}z^n}{1+\frac{(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}a_{n+1}z^n}. \tag{2.11}$$

This gives us that

$$\left|\frac{zD_z^{1+\lambda}f(z)}{D_z^{\lambda}f(z)} - (1-\lambda)\right| < \frac{\frac{(n+1)!n\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}|a_{n+1}|}{1-\frac{(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}|a_{n+1}|}. \tag{2.12}$$

Now, we take five boundary points such that

$$z_1 = e^{-i\frac{\arg(a_{n+1})}{n}}, \tag{2.13}$$

$$z_2 = e^{i\frac{\pi-6\arg(a_{n+1})}{6n}}, \tag{2.14}$$

$$z_3 = e^{i\frac{\pi-4\arg(a_{n+1})}{4n}}, \tag{2.15}$$

$$z_4 = e^{i\frac{\pi-3\arg(a_{n+1})}{3n}}, \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$z_5 = e^{i\frac{\pi-2\arg(a_{n+1})}{2n}}. \tag{2.17}$$

For the above boundary points, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)D_z^{\lambda}f(z_1)}{z_1^{1-\lambda}} &= 1+\frac{(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}a_{n+1}e^{-i\arg(a_{n+1})} \\ &= 1+\frac{(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}|a_{n+1}|,\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)D_z^{\lambda}f(z_2)}{z_2^{1-\lambda}} &= 1+\frac{(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}a_{n+1}e^{i\frac{\pi-6\arg(a_{n+1})}{6}} \\ &= 1+\frac{(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}+i}{2}\right)|a_{n+1}|,\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)D_z^\lambda f(z_3)}{z_3^{1-\lambda}} &= 1+\frac{(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}a_{n+1}e^{i\frac{\pi-4\arg(a_{n+1})}{4}} \\ &= 1+\frac{(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}\left(\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}\right)|a_{n+1}|,\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)D_z^\lambda f(z_4)}{z_4^{1-\lambda}} &= 1+\frac{(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}a_{n+1}e^{i\frac{\pi-3\arg(a_{n+1})}{3}} \\ &= 1+\frac{(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{3}i}{2}\right)|a_{n+1}|,\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)D_z^\lambda f(z_5)}{z_5^{1-\lambda}} &= 1+\frac{(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}a_{n+1}e^{i\frac{\pi-2\arg(a_{n+1})}{2}} \\ &= 1+\frac{(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}i|a_{n+1}|.\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Therefore, α_5 is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_5 &= \frac{1}{5}\sum_{p=1}^{5}\frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)D_z^\lambda f(z_p)}{z_p^{1-\lambda}} \\ &= 1+\frac{(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)\left(3+\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3}\right)(1+i)}{10\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}|a_{n+1}|.\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

It follows from α_5 that

$$\left|1-\alpha_5 e^{-i\beta}\right| = \frac{\sqrt{2}\left(3+\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3}\right)(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{10\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}|a_{n+1}| \tag{2.24}$$

for $\beta=0$. For the above α_5 and $\beta=0$, we consider a real $\rho>1$ such that

$$\frac{\frac{(n+1)!n\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}|a_{n+1}|}{1-\frac{(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}|a_{n+1}|} \le \frac{\left|e^{i\beta}-\alpha_5\right|n\rho}{1+\left|e^{i\beta}-\alpha_5\right|\rho}. \tag{2.25}$$

Then we have that

$$\rho \ge \frac{10}{\sqrt{2}\left(3+\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3}\right)} \qquad \left(0<|a_{n+1}|<\frac{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}{2(n+1)!}\right). \tag{2.26}$$

Considering α_5 in (2.23) and ρ in (2.26), we say that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)D_z^\lambda f(z)}{z^{1-\lambda}}-1\right| &< \frac{(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}|a_{n+1}| \\ &\le \frac{\frac{(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}|a_{n+1}|}{1-2\frac{(n+1)!}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}|a_{n+1}|} \\ &\le \left|e^{i\beta}-\alpha_5\right|\rho \qquad (\beta=0).\end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

Taking $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 2.1, we see

Corollary 2.1 If $f(z) \in A_n$ satisfies

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| < \frac{\left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m \right| n\rho}{1 + \left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m \right| \rho}, \qquad (z \in U) \tag{2.28}$$

for some α_m defined by (1.9) with $\alpha_m \neq 1$ such that $z_p \in \partial U \quad (p = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, m)$, and for some real $\rho > 1$, then

$$|f'(z) - 1| < \left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m \right| \rho \qquad (z \in U). \tag{2.29}$$

Our next result is as follows:

Theorem 2.2 Let $G_\lambda(z)$ be defined by (1.11) for $f(z) \in A_n$. If $G_\lambda(z)$ satisfies

$$\left| zG'_\lambda(z) - \frac{zG'_\lambda(z)}{G_\lambda(z)} \right| < \frac{\left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m \right|^2 n\rho^2}{1 + \left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m \right| \rho} \qquad (z \in U) \tag{2.30}$$

for some α_m defined by (1.9) with $\alpha_m \neq 1$, and for some real $\rho > 1$, then

$$\left| \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda) D_z^\lambda f(z)}{z^{1-\lambda}} - 1 \right| < \left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m \right| \rho \qquad (z \in U), \tag{2.31}$$

that is, $f(z) \in A_n(\alpha_m, \beta, \rho, \lambda)$, where $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \beta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Proof We define the function $w(z)$ by (2.3). Then, it follows from (2.3) that

$$zG'_\lambda(z) - \frac{zG'_\lambda(z)}{G_\lambda(z)} = \frac{\left(1 - \alpha_m e^{-i\beta}\right)^2 zw(z) w'(z)}{1 + \left(1 - \alpha_m e^{-i\beta}\right) w(z)}. \tag{2.32}$$

Supposing that there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that

$$\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = \rho > 1, \tag{2.33}$$

Lemma 1.1 gives us $w(z_0) = \rho e^{i\theta}$ and $z_0 w'(z_0) = k w(z_0) \quad (k \geq n)$.
For such a point $z_0 \in U$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| z_0 G'_\lambda(z_0) - \frac{z_0 G'_\lambda(z_0)}{G_\lambda(z_0)} \right| &= \left| \frac{\left(1 - \alpha_m e^{-i\beta}\right)^2 z_0 w(z_0) w'(z_0)}{1 + \left(1 - \alpha_m e^{-i\beta}\right) w(z_0)} \right| \\ &= \frac{\left| 1 - \alpha_m e^{-i\beta} \right|^2 \rho^2 k}{\left| 1 + \left(1 - \alpha_m e^{-i\beta}\right) \rho e^{i\theta} \right|} \\ &\geq \frac{\left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m \right|^2 n\rho^2}{1 + \left| e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m \right| \rho}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

This contradicts our condition (2.30). Therefore, we say that there is no $z_0 \in U$ such that $|w(z_0)| = \rho > 1$.
This shows us that

$$|w(z)| = \left| \frac{e^{i\beta} G_\lambda(z) - \alpha_m}{e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m} - 1 \right| < \rho, \qquad (z \in U) \tag{2.35}$$

that is,

$$\left|\frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda) D_z^{\lambda} f(z)}{z^{1-\lambda}} - 1\right| < \left|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m\right| \rho \qquad (z \in U). \tag{2.36}$$

Example 2.2 Let a function $f(z) \in A_n$ be given by

$$f(z) = z + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} \qquad (z \in U) \tag{2.37}$$

with $0 < |a_{n+1}| < \frac{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}{(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}$. Then, $G_\lambda(z)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \left|zG'_\lambda(z) - \frac{zG'_\lambda(z)}{G_\lambda(z)}\right| &= \left|\frac{n\left(\frac{(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}\right)^2 a_{n+1}^2 z^{2n}}{1 + \frac{(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)} a_{n+1} z^n}\right| \\ &< \frac{n\left(\frac{(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}\right)^2 |a_{n+1}|^2}{1 - \frac{(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)} |a_{n+1}|} \qquad (z \in U). \end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

Considering five boundary points z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 , and z_5 in Example 2.1, we have

$$\left|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_5\right| = \frac{\sqrt{2}\left(3 + \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}\right)(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{10\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)} |a_{n+1}| \tag{2.39}$$

with $\beta = 0$. For such α_5 and $\beta = 0$, we consider a real $\rho > 1$ satisfying

$$\frac{n\left(\frac{(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}\right)^2 |a_{n+1}|^2}{1 - \frac{(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)} |a_{n+1}|} \leq \frac{\left|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m\right|^2 n\rho^2}{1 + \left|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_5\right| \rho}. \tag{2.40}$$

Then, this ρ satisfies

$$\rho \geq \frac{10}{\sqrt{2}\left(3 + \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}\right)} > 1. \tag{2.41}$$

With such α_5, ρ and $\beta = 0$, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda) D_z^{\lambda} f(z)}{z^{1-\lambda}} - 1\right| &< \frac{(n+1)!\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)} |a_{n+1}| \\ &\leq \left|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_5\right| \rho, \qquad (\beta = 0). \end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

Letting $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 2.2, we have

Corollary 2.2 If $f(z) \in A_n$ satisfies

$$\left|zf''(z) - \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right| < \frac{\left|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m\right|^2 n\rho^2}{1 + \left|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m\right| \rho} \qquad (z \in U) \tag{2.43}$$

for some α_m defined by

$$\alpha_m = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{p=1}^{m} f'(z_p) \qquad (z_j \neq z_k) \tag{2.44}$$

with $\alpha_m \neq 1$, and for some real $\rho > 1$, then

$$|f'(z) - 1| < \left|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m\right| \rho, \qquad (z \in U) \tag{2.45}$$

where $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \beta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$.
Finally, we derive

Theorem 2.3 If $f(z) \in A_n$ satisfies

$$\frac{zD_z^{1+\lambda} f(z) - (1-\lambda) D_z^{\lambda} f(z)}{\Gamma(2-\lambda) D_z^{\lambda} f(z) - z^{1-\lambda}} \neq \frac{k}{\Gamma(2-\lambda)} \qquad (z \in U) \tag{2.46}$$

for some real $k \geq n$, then

$$\left|\frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda) D_z^{\lambda} f(z)}{z^{1-\lambda}} - 1\right| < \left|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m\right| \rho, \qquad (z \in U) \tag{2.47}$$

where α_m is defined by (1.9) with $\alpha_m \neq 1, \rho > 1$, and $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \beta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, that is, $f(z) \in A_n(\alpha_m, \beta, \rho, \lambda)$.

Proof For $f(z) \in A_n$ satisfying (2.46), we consider $w(z)$ given by (2.3). Since

$$G_\lambda(z) = \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda) D_z^{\lambda} f(z)}{z^{1-\lambda}}, \tag{2.48}$$

we say that

$$\frac{zD_z^{1+\lambda} f(z) - (1-\lambda) D_z^{\lambda} f(z)}{\Gamma(2-\lambda) D_z^{\lambda} f(z) - z^{1-\lambda}} = \frac{zw'(z)}{\Gamma(2-\lambda) w(z)}. \tag{2.49}$$

If we suppose that there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that

$$\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = \rho > 1, \tag{2.50}$$

Lemma 1.1 says that $w(z_0) = \rho e^{i\theta}$ and $z_0 w'(z_0) = k w(z_0)$ $(k \geq n)$. From the above fact, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z_0 D_z^{1+\lambda} f(z_0) - (1-\lambda) D_z^{\lambda} f(z_0)}{\Gamma(2-\lambda) D_z^{\lambda} f(z_0) - z_0^{1-\lambda}} &= \frac{z_0 w'(z_0)}{\Gamma(2-\lambda) w(z_0)} \\ &= \frac{k}{\Gamma(2-\lambda)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.51}$$

Since this contradicts our condition (2.46), we have $|w(z)| < \rho$ for all $z \in U$.
Consequently, we obtain that

$$|w(z)| = \left|\frac{\frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda) D_z^{\lambda} f(z)}{z^{1-\lambda}} - 1}{e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m}\right| < \rho, \qquad (z \in U) \tag{2.52}$$

which shows the inequality (2.47).
Letting $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 2.3, we have

Corollary 2.3 If $f(z) \in A_n$ satisfies

$$\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)-1} \neq k \qquad (z \in U), \tag{2.53}$$

for some real $k \geq n$, then $f(z)$ satisfies

$$|f'(z)-1| < \left|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m\right| \rho \qquad (z \in U), \tag{2.54}$$

where α_m is given by (2.44) with $\alpha_m \neq 1, \rho > 1$, and $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \beta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Remark In (2.29) of Corollary 2.1, (2.45) of Corollary 2.2, and (2.54) of Corollary 2.3, we say that

$$|f'(z)-1| < \left|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m\right| \rho, \qquad (z \in U). \tag{2.55}$$

Therefore, if $\left|e^{i\beta} - \alpha_m\right| \rho \leq 1$ for α_m, ρ, and β, then $f(z)$ is closed convex in U.

References

[1] Jack IS. Functions starlike and convex of order α. Journal of the London Mathematical Society 1971; 3: 469-474.

[2] Miller SS, Mocanu PT. Second-order differential inequalities in the complex plane. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 1978; 65: 289-305.

[3] Miller SS, Mocanu PT. Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications. New York, NY, USA: Marcel Dekker Incorporated, 2000.

[4] Owa S. On the distortion theorems-I. Kyungpook Mathematical Journal 1978; 18: 53-59.

[5] Owa S. On applications of the fractional calculus. Mathematica Japonica 1980; 25: 195-206.

[6] Owa S, Srivastava HM. Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions. Canadian Journal of Mathematics 1987; 39: 1067-1077.